



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/501,332	02/09/2000	Charles Merriam	5437-055	3704	
22835 7	590 05/19/2004		EXAMINER		
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP			HA, LEY	HA, LEYNNA A	
508 SECOND	STREET				
SUITE 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAVIS, CA	DAVIS, CA 95616			12	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• • •		\mathcal{M}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/501,332	MERRIAM, CHARLES				
nance, y neuch	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LEYNNA T. HA	2135				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)





Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The amended independent claims (3/16/2004) states the system associating the keys with the set of information and encrypting it, thereb storing only encrypted information to the repository. However, any added subject matter or limitations added after the Final rejection will not be considered or examined.

Boneh teaches the system associating the keys with the set of information and encrypting it before storing the encrypted information and not the unencrypted form to the backup system (col.4, lines 38 thru col.5, line 5). Applicant's "information system" is the backup system on Boneh where it is inherent the backup system only stores the encrypted information since the information needed to be encrypted by the encryption device prior to storing the file within the backup system (col.4, lines 17-44). Therefore, Boneh does not leave any "local persistent file" unencrypted within the backup system. Further, Boneh teaches that once the key file is lost or deleted, the set of information is inaccessible (col.5, lines 1-5). Applicant only claims that the information is being purged by deleting the keys. To purge is to eliminate and to render is to produce an image from the data file so unrenderable is to unable to produce the image from the data file. Thus, the data associated to the key is unable to be produced or accessed from the system once the key of that particular file is deleted. In conclusion, Boneh teaches the claim language of the amended claims 1, 12, and 23.

WIN VU

VECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100